

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/015,760	11/01/2001	William John Goetzinger	ROC920010201US1	2879	
31647 75	590 08/10/2005	EXAMINER		INER	
DUGAN & DUGAN, P.C.			NGUYEN, BINH QUOC		
55 SOUTH BROADWAY TARRYTOWN, NY 10591			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2664	2664 DATE MAILED: 08/10/2005	
			DATE MAILED: 08/10/2009		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/015,760	GOETZINGER ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Binh Q. Nguyen	2664			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11/0	<u>1/2001</u> .				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	s action is non-final.				
,—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1.9.13.15 and 18-33 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 2-8, 10-12, 14, and 16-17 is/are objected. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	***				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)	,	(770 440)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)				
3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/01/01, 03/29/02.	_	Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/015,760

Art Unit: 2664

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-8, and 23-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

- a. Claims 1, and 23-33 have the limitation "...which weighted fair queuing ...".

 There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in that claims. Examiner suggests changing this term to "...which a weighted fair queuing ...".
- b. Claims 28-33 have the limitation "...having computer program code adapted to: ...". The term "adapted" is not clear, therefor those steps after that term will not be consider. Examiner suggests changing that term to "...having computer program code executed steps: ...".

Appropriate correction is required.

c. Claims 1, and 23-27 provide for the use of "a scheduler for a network processor..." but, since the claim does not set forth any steps involved in the method/process, it is unclear what method/process applicant is intending to encompass. A claim is indefinite where it merely recites a use without any active, positive steps delimiting how this use is actually practiced. It is not clear if claims 1, and 23-27 are apparatus or a method based on the language, making the claims indefinite.

Application/Control Number: 10/015,760 Page 3

Art Unit: 2664

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

2. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 9-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. The claims recited; "adjusting the scaling factor SF based on the result of the comparing step (claim 9), or incrementing a counter if the comparing step determines that D > R; and increasing SF if the incremented counter exceeds a threshold (claim 13), or incrementing a counter if the comparing step determines that D < R/2; and decreasing SF if the incremented counter exceeds a threshold (claim 15), ... "which are directed data gathering and sequence of mathematical operations without being limited to a practical application.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 2-8, 10-12, 14, and 16-17 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewrite in independent form including all of the limitation of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Page 4

Contact Information

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Binh Q. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-8563. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 9:00 AM - 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wellington Chin can be reached on 571-272-3134. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Respectfully submitted,

Binh Q. Nguyen Patent Examiner

08/02/2005

WELLINGTON CHINE RVISORY PATENT EXAMINE